This was a provisional title given to me by organizers of a McDonald Institute National Meeting a few months ago:

# FUTURE WIMPS

## Joseph Bramante







Arthur B. McDonald **Canadian Astroparticle Physics Research Institute** 









Thankfully I had training for this at a recent MI/CITA astro & particle theory workshop (shared credit/culpability to other organizers: Juna Kollmeier, David Curtin, Katelin Schutz, Aaron Vincent)

#### Particle physics term

Non-abelian Ultrarelativistic Weakly interacting Fluffy Warm Scintillating

One example: "Non-abelian" + "asteroids"  $\rightarrow$ DM with a non-abelian confining gauge interaction could form 'dark-quark-ball dm' macroscopic objects, which may have sufficient EM interaction to be visible and be mistaken for asteroids

Play it loose, this is a game. Perhaps some of it will be fun & eye-opening & perhaps even possible?

#### The hat game

#### Astro term

Galactic magnetic fields Asteroids Saturn's hexagon Lyman alpha forest Active galactic nuclei





# Joseph Bramante







**Canadian Astroparticle Physics Research Institute** 

## CETUP Talk June 17 2024









-Most DM models were written down in the 80s.

-The simplest DM are well studied, and may be discovered soon.

(Simple in formulation, complicated in dynamics)

-Heavy DM is less studied, and may be discovered soon. Heavy DM is perhaps easier to look for, for now.











#### What do we know about dark matter?

mass in GeV





#### How was dark matter made?



de Sitter fluctuation (wimpzilla)

classic freezeout (wimp)

freezeout variant (wimpish)

#### production temperature ( $\rho^{1/4}$ ) in GeV

























#### The WIMP Miracle



**Observed DM relic abundance achieved** for annihilation cross-section matching weak scale mass / couplings.



Some symmetry arguments imply interactions at dark matter experiments.

#### As the universe cools, dark matter falls out of thermal equilibrium, some portion annihilates to SM particles





# Diluted WIMP Dark Matter: heavier





**Overabundant freeze-out** 



$$\frac{dini}{dini} = n_x$$
 dilution

#### **Motivation**

- -Matter dominated epoch
- -Decay of asymmetry field (Affleck-Dine)
- -Decay of inflaton
- -Decay of modulus / gravitino
- -Field associated with ~PeV dark sector

see also e.g. Affleck Dine '85 Allahverdi Dutta Sinha '11 Kane Shao Watson '11 Davoudiasl Hooper McDermott '15 Berlin Hooper Krnjaic '16





#### **HEAVY COMPOSITE DM**

<u>Consider a simple model of fermionic DM coupled by a scalar field</u>

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_X) X + g_X \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2$$

Diluted dark matter has a freeze-out abundance that scales with  $\zeta^{-1}$ This overabundance of dark matter leads to very large  $\varphi - X$  composites



- $+ g_n \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$

see e.g. Wise Zhang '14 Krnjaic Sigurdson '14 Hardy Lasenby March-Russell '14 Detmold McCullough Pochinsky '14 Gresham Lou Zurek '17 Coskuner, Grabowska, Knapen, Zurek '18 Acevedo, JB, Goodman '20

#### Model of quark matter forming during 1st order PT



see e.g. Witten '84

FIG. 3. Isolated shrinking bubbles of the high-temperature phase.















m<sub>x</sub> (GeV)

Heavy Mediator







 $10^{-25}$ 

 $\sigma_{nx}$ 



# **PRICE OF DM DETECTION**

m<sub>x</sub> (GeV)

### **HEAVY DARK MATTER**





# What kind of dark matter is over here





#### Nice to have a model

- Early matter domination
- Boson stars
  - On the other hand: What Lagrangian / cosmology



Predict masses from 1st principle

 $-\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 + \bar{X}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m_X)X + g_X\bar{X}\varphi X - \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^2\varphi^2 + g_n\bar{n}\varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$ 





#### - Q ball - Dark QCD/BBN

mation still has open (e.g. pebble accretion). mposite DM doesn't have hamics like single-field













Nice to have a model

- Dissipative dark sector - Q ball - Fermion stars - Dark QCD/BBN
- Early matter domination - Boson stars

On the other hand: What is the Lagrangian / cosmology for planets?



 $-\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 + \bar{X}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m_X)X + g_X\bar{X}\varphi X - \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^2\varphi^2 + g_n\bar{n}\varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$ 

- Planet formation still has open questions (e.g. pebble accretion).
- Heavy composite DM doesn't have simple dynamics like single-field DM models









Nice to have a model

- Early matter domination
- Boson stars

On the other hand: What is the Lagrangian / cosmology for planets?



 $-\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 + \bar{X}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m_X)X + g_X\bar{X}\varphi X - \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^2\varphi^2 + g_n\bar{n}\varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$ 





#### - Dissipative dark sector - Fermion stars

- Q ball - Dark QCD/BBN

Perhaps the least explored of these.

- Planet formation still has open questions (e.g. pebble accretion).
- Heavy composite DM doesn't have simple dynamics like single-field DM models











$$(x)^{2} + \bar{X}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m_{X})X + g_{X}\bar{X}\varphi X - \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^{2}\varphi^{2} + g_{n}\bar{n}\varphi n + \mathcal{L}_{S}$$

$$\psi^0 + \text{c.c.} + \mathscr{L}_{SM+2HDM}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & g' v_u / \sqrt{2} \\ \overline{2} & -g v_u / \sqrt{2} \\ & -\mu \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(also restricted M1, M2 values to make Higgsino have tree-level *inelastic nuclear scattering)* 





# **DM Models**

if given multiple guesses, five decades of mass and model

1. 2. 3.



#### Alien Game Show Win spaceships!







# **DM Models**

if given multiple guesses

- 1. Heavy asymmetric, 10<sup>5</sup>-10<sup>10</sup> GeV
- 2. Higgsinos/WIMPs, 10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>7</sup> GeV
- 3. Axions, 10<sup>-10</sup>-10<sup>-5</sup> eV
- 4. Heavy composite, 10<sup>19</sup>-10<sup>24</sup> GeV
- 5. Light dark matter, 10<sup>-5</sup>-1 GeV
- 6. ...your favorite DM



Alien Game Show Win spaceships!



#### my rough prior

≲6%





≲4%

≲4%





# **DM Models**

- 1. Heavy asymmetric, 10<sup>5</sup>-10<sup>10</sup> GeV
- 2. Higgsinos/WIMPs, 10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>7</sup> GeV
- 3. Axions, 10<sup>-10</sup>-10<sup>-5</sup> eV
- 4. Heavy composite, 10<sup>19</sup>-10<sup>24</sup> GeV
- 5. Light dark matter, 10<sup>-5</sup>-1 GeV
- 6. ...your favorite DM

But if told, "hey for heavy composites, you can have 10 orders of magnitude in mass"

- 1. Heavy composite 10<sup>19</sup>-10<sup>29</sup> GeV
- 2 Heavy asymmetric 105-1010 GeV





### HIGH MASS ASYMMETRIC COMPOSITE DM

Consider a simple model of fermionic DM coupled by a scalar field

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_X) X + g_X \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2$$

Diluted dark matter has a freeze-out abundance that scales with  $\zeta$ 

This overabundance of dark matter leads to very large  $\varphi - X$  corr



Composite mass ranging from milligrams to thousands of tons

- $+ g_n \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$

see also e.g. Mise 7hang '14

Sigurdson '14 \_asenby March-Russell '14 d McCullough Pochinsky '14 Im Lou Zurek '17 ner, Grabowska, Knapen, Zurek '18

Javier Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2012.10998





$$27 \left(\frac{g_{ca}^*}{10^2}\right)^{3/5} \left(\frac{T_{ca}}{10^5 \,\text{GeV}}\right)^{9/5} \left(\frac{5 \,\text{GeV}}{m_X^*}\right)^{21/5} \left(\frac{10^{-6}}{\zeta}\right)^{6/5}$$





### HIGH MASS ASYMMETRIC COMPOSITE DM

Consider a simple model of fermionic DM coupled by a scalar field

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_X) X + g_X \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2$$

Diluted dark matter has a freeze-out abundance that scales with  $\zeta^{-1}$ 

This overabundance of dark matter leads to very large  $\varphi - X$  composites



Composite mass ranging from milligrams to thousands of tons

- $+ g_n \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$

see also e.g. Wise Zhang '14 Krnjaic Sigurdson '14 Hardy Lasenby March-Russell '14 Detmold McCullough Pochinsky '14 Gresham Lou Zurek '17 Coskuner, Grabowska, Knapen, Zurek '18

Javier Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2012.10998





$$27 \left(\frac{g_{ca}^*}{10^2}\right)^{3/5} \left(\frac{T_{ca}}{10^5 \,\text{GeV}}\right)^{9/5} \left(\frac{5 \,\text{GeV}}{m_X^*}\right)^{21/5} \left(\frac{10^{-6}}{\zeta}\right)^{6/5}$$





# **COMPOSITE INTERACTIONS**

#### nuclear interactions with DM composite internal potential



Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2012.10998

Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2108.10899

 $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_X) X + g_X \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 + g_n \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$ 

#### scattering with constituents

$$\langle \varphi \rangle > m_N$$

Acevedo, Boukhtouchen, JB, Cappiello, Mohlabeng, Sheahan, Tyagi, in progress







## **BREM/NUCLEAR INTERACTIONS**

#### nuclear interactions with DM composite internal potential



 $\langle \varphi \rangle \lesssim m_N, g_n > 0$ 

Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2012.10998

 $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_X) X + g_X \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 + g_n \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$ 





# Saturated ADM composite parameters

bremsstrahlung + fusion requires a few nuclei per composite

for large  $N_c$  composite interior has a potential determined by: Minimize  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}m_{\phi}^{2}\langle\phi\rangle^{2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{0}^{p_{F}} dp \ p^{2} \left(p^{2} + m_{*}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$ leading to  $\langle \phi \rangle \simeq \frac{m_X}{q_Y}, \quad r < R_X$ with interior mass  $\bar{m}_X \simeq [3\pi m_X^2 m_{\varphi}^2/(2\alpha_X)]^{1/4}$ (DM fusion conditions) edge of composite screened  $\alpha_X^2 m_X \gtrsim m_\phi$  $\phi(r) = \langle \phi \rangle \ e^{-m_{\phi}(r-R_X)} \left(\frac{R_X}{r}\right), \quad r \ge R_X$  $lpha_X \gtrsim 0.3 \left(\frac{m_X}{10^7 \,{
m GeV}}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\frac{\zeta}{10^{-6}}\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}$ 





# DM-nucleon coupling accelerates nuclei in composites

Consider an interaction term with SM r

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

nucleons 
$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_0 + g_n \bar{n} \phi n$$

Nuclei will accelerate across the DM composite's boundary layer, because of the attractive potential sourced by X fermions, like gravity but stronger and shielded

$$p_1^2 + m_N^2 = p_2^2 + (m_N - Ag_n \langle \phi \rangle)^2$$
$$Ag_n \langle \phi \rangle = \frac{Ag_n m_X}{g_X} = \frac{p_2^2 - p_1^2}{2m_N}$$

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Heated nuclei in composite interior

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $\langle \phi \rangle \propto m_X \sim \text{TeV} - \text{EeV}$  acceleration is substantial even for  $g_n \ll 1$ 

Ionization (Migdal, collisions) Thermal bremsstrahlung Thermonuclear fusion

#### **Potential signatures of this effect?**

- Ionizing dark matter
- Neutrino detectors
- Type la supernovae

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **BREM/NUCLEAR FUSION IN COMPOSIT**

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$f^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^{2} + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{X}) X + g_{X} \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^{2} \varphi^{2} + g_{n} \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathcal{D}$$
**TES**

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Where in parameter space do experiments have sensitivity?

To trigger detectors: SNO+: ~1 MeV per 100 ns IceCube: ~10 TeV per 100 ns

Composites radiate continuously along path:

$$\dot{E}_{SNO+} \simeq 10^4 \text{ GeV s}^{-1}$$
  $\dot{E}_{IC} \simeq M_X^{max} \simeq 10^{22} \text{ GeV}$   $M_X^{max}$ 

(~100 PeV in single crossing)

ig path:  $\simeq 10^{11} \text{ GeV s}^{-1}$  $\simeq 3 \times 10^{25} \text{ GeV}$ 

1 K M

Ε

C
-

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **BREM/NUCLEAR FUSION IN COMPOSIT**

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$f^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^{2} + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{X}) X + g_{X} \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^{2} \varphi^{2} + g_{n} \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathcal{D}$$
**TES**

Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2012.10998

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

## LOW E RECOIL INTERACTIONS

#### nuclear interactions with DM composite internal potential

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2108.10899

 $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_X) X + g_X \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 + g_n \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$ 

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Composite Migdal Effect at DD Experiments**

$$\Delta t_{\text{interact}} \ll \tau_{e^-}$$
,  $R_a/v_N$  Migdal approximation of the sudden nuclear sudden nuclear sudden nuclear sudden nuclear subset of the subset of th

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_{e^-} \sim 10^{-17} \text{ s} & \text{electron orbital period} \\ \frac{R_a}{v_N} \sim 10^{-15} \text{ s} \left(\frac{g_n}{10^{-10}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{m_X}{\text{TeV}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $(R_a \sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm})$ 

![](_page_35_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Composite masses/radii determined by m<sub>x</sub>, cosmology with $\alpha_X = 0.3$

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$(\phi)^2 + \bar{X}(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m_X)X + g_X\bar{X}\varphi X - \frac{1}{2}m_\varphi^2\varphi^2 + g_n\bar{n}\varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$$

Acevedo, JB, Goodman, 2108.10889

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **MIMP INTERACTIONS**

#### nuclear interactions with DM composite internal potential

 $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 + \bar{X} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_X) X + g_X \bar{X} \varphi X - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 \pm g_n \bar{n} \varphi n + \mathscr{L}_{SM},$ 

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $\langle \varphi \rangle > m_N$ 

(MIMPs)

Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2108.10899

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Multiscatter: models of dark matter interact many times in detectors.

#### + +

+

#### New searches for multiply interacting dark matter (MIMPs)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $E_{th} \sim \mu_{nx}^2 v^2 / m_n$ 

cross-section for DM to hit detector particle

mass of dark matter

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

• If particles have velocity v (~0.001c), then sensitivity of detector sets a minimum energy threshold for detection

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

cross-section for DM to hit detector particle

mass of dark matter

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### • Detector is composed of N<sub>a</sub> atoms, observes for time t

#### • As DM mass increases, DM flux decreases, sensitivity decreases as 1/m<sub>x</sub>

**DM number density**  $\rho_x / m_x$ 

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

mass of dark matter

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **Overburden attenuation**

 DM particles can be slowed through scattering with atmosphere, earth, aluminum space station wall.

Length of overburden  $E_{thresh} \lesssim E_i (1 - m_a/m_x)^{n_a \sigma_{ax} L_{ob}}$ 

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

cross-section for DM to hit detector particle

mass of dark matter

 Attenuation cross-section increases linearly with DM kinetic energy ~m<sub>x</sub> v<sub>x</sub><sup>2</sup>

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

mass of dark matter

Special point — has all passing particles hit once in detector

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **MULTISCATTER DARK MATTER DETECTION**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

mass of dark matter

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

-  $\tau = n_a \sigma_{ax} L = 1$ 

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

JB, Broerman, Kumar, Lang, Pospelov, Raj 1812.09325

JB, Broerman, Lang, Raj 1803.08044 1910.05380 46

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

# CHICAGO, MULTISCATTER DARK MATTER DETECTION

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

- - Liquid scintillator test modules at U Chicago Chris Cappiello, Collar, Beacom 2008.10646

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **GAS CLOUDS**

# The earth and atmosphere block detection of strongly-interacting dark matter

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

2010.07240 1812.10919 1806.06857 dark matter kinetic energy < recoil threshold

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **GAS CLOUD BOUNDS**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Conservative: assume all heating by DM

In reality:

radiative cooling

cosmic rays

(DM +)

Xray/UV background

photoelectric heating via dust grains

There are known ubiquitous heating sources, like cosmic UV background, cosmic rays, dust grain heating.

![](_page_48_Picture_11.jpeg)

# HEAVY DM IN GAS CLOUD, NUCLEAR INTERACTIONS

#### Gas Cloud 357.8-4.7-55

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

Δv from 21cm emission gives T<137 K <u>G357.8-4.7-55</u>

M = 237 M⊙

 $r_{gc} = 12.9 \text{ pc}$ 

 $n_n = 0.4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 

Tg < 137 K

 $r_{los} \sim 800 \text{ pc}$ 

 $v_{g} = -54 \text{ km/s}$ 

0

(assume spherical cloud)

![](_page_49_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_13.jpeg)

# HEAVY DM IN GAS CLOUD, NUCLEAR INTERACTIONS

Fixed cross-section for scattering off all nuclei

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

Amit Bhoonah, JB, Schon, Song 2010.07240

#### Gas Cloud 357.8-4.7-55

Δv from 21cm emission gives T<137 K G357.8-4.7-55

M = 237 M⊙

 $r_{gc} = 12.9 \text{ pc}$ 

 $n_n = 0.4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 

Tg < 137 K

 $r_{\rm los} \sim 800 \ {\rm pc}$ 

 $v_{g} = -54 \text{ km/s}$ 

6

(assume spherical cloud)

![](_page_50_Picture_14.jpeg)

# HEAVY DM IN GAS CLOUD, NUCLEAR INTERACTIONS

Fixed cross-section for scattering off all nuclei

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

Amit Bhoonah, JB, Schon, Song 2010.07240

![](_page_51_Figure_5.jpeg)

Recast CDMS-I limit using multi scatter (Muon veto rejects very strong interactions)

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **ETCHING PLASTIC SEARCHES FOR DARK MATTER**

> Two searches in 1978 and 1990 for cosmic rays and monopoles using acid-etched plastic track detectors > Still have best sensitivity for some high mass dark matter, for different reasons

see also e.g. Starkman, Gould, Esmailzadeh, Dimopoulos 1990

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

Skylab

	Skylab	Ohya
Area A	$1.17  m^2$	$2442 m^2$
Duration t	0.70 yr	2.1 yr
Zenith cutoff angle	$\theta_D = 60^{\circ}$	$\theta_D = 18.4^\circ$
Detector material	$0.25 \text{ mm thick Lexan} \times 32 \text{ sheets}$	$1.59 \text{ mm thick CR-}39 \times 4 \text{ sheets}$
Detector density	$1.2~{ m g~cm^{-3}}$ Lexan	$1.3 { m ~g} { m ~cm}^{-3} { m ~CR}$ -39
Detector length at $\theta_D$	$1.6~\mathrm{cm}$	$0.66~\mathrm{cm}$
Overburden density	$2.7~{ m g~cm^{-3}}$ Aluminum	$2.7~{ m g~cm^{-3}~Rock}$
Over burden length at $\theta_D$	$0.74~\mathrm{cm}$	39 m

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

Bhoonah, JB, Courtman, Song 2012.13406

![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Ohya Quarry

![](_page_52_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **LOOSELY BOUND COMPOSITES**

#### Javier Acevedo, Yilda Boukhtouchen, JB, Chris Cappiello, Gopolang Mohlabeng, Narayani Tyagi

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **LOOSELY BOUND COMPOSITES**

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

- DM-nuclear scattering cross sections that scales with ~A<sup>4</sup> for larger than nuclear cross sections

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

# ETCHING PLASTIC SEARCHES FOR DARK MATTER

> Use realistic dark matter density and velocity distribution, solve for overburden+etching sensitivity

$$\frac{dE}{dx}\Big|_{th} = \frac{2E_i}{m_{\chi}} \left( \sum_{A \subset O} \frac{\mu_{\chi A}^2}{m_A} n_A \sigma_{\chi A} \right) \exp\left[ \frac{-2}{m_{\chi}} \left( x_O \sum_{A \subset O} n_A \frac{\mu_{\chi A}^2}{m_A} \sigma_{\chi A} + x_D \sum_{A \subset D} n_A \frac{\mu_{\chi A}^2}{m_A} \sigma_{\chi A} \right) \right]$$

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **ANCIENT SEARCH FOR NEW PARTICLES: MICA**

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

FIG. 2. Geometry of collinear etch pits along the trajectory of a hypothetical monopole-nucleus bound state in three sheets of mica that had been cleaved, etched, and superimposed for scanning.

> 1986 Price and Salamon mica monopole search > 1995 Snowden-Ifft et al. calibrated mica samples

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

### ANCIENT SEARCH FOR NEW PARTICLES: MICA

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

 Calibrated and etched mica samples from Price and Salamon 1986, Snowden-Ifft 1995

Also a mineral DM detection collaboration at Queen's Balogh, Boukhtouchen, JB, Fung, Leybourne, Lucas, Mkhonto, Vincent See e.g. recent whitepaper: 2301.07118

#### Reanalyzed mica data using overburden model / custom MC Acevedo, JB, Goodman 2105.06473

![](_page_57_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

## HEAVY MIMP RESULTS FROM DEAP-3600, XENON1T

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

2108.09405, PRL

2304.10931, PRL

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

### FUTURE HEAVY DM: CR-39, SNO+, QCUMBER, YOUR EXPERIMENT?

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

Q Paleo (QCumber? - name suggestions welcome) 2301.07118

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Future CR-39 experiment or similar** 

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

-Most DM models were written down in the 80s. -The simplest DM are well studied, and may be (Simple in formulation, complicated in dynamics)

-Less simple heavy DM is less studied, and may easier to look for, for now.

Delorean

![](_page_60_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

-Most DM models were written down in the 80s.

-The simplest DM are well studied, and may be discovered soon.

(Simple in formulation, complicated in dynamics)

-Less simple heavy DM is less studied, and may be discovered soon. Heavy DM is easier to look for, for now.

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)

URE DM

![](_page_61_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

-Most DM models were written down in the 80s.

-The simplest DM are well studied, and may be discovered soon.

(Simple in formulation, complicated in dynamics)

-Less simple heavy DM is less studied, and may be discovered soon. Heavy DM is easier to look for, for now.

![](_page_62_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_9.jpeg)