Neutron Star Heating from Dark Matter The relativistic frontier Flip Tanedo



Aniket Joglekar

Nirmal Raj

Haibo Yu

30 June 2023 CETUP*

Based on arXiv:1911.13293 arXiv: 2004.09539 ERSIDE



THE INSTITUTE FOR UNDERGROUND SCIENCE AT SURF

Result

Surprising reach of relativistic electrons

can beat lepton direct detection

curiously similar reach to neutrons

dotted line?



UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

35



Direct Detection Challenges

Bigger = harder ... and there's only so much Xe

Dark matter is slow. ... and worse, may also be light

Neutrino fog.

... in this talk, not a feature (See Nityasa's talk)

Incoming Particle

Overburden/ceiling ... e.g. Jason & Chris talks



via LUX-LZ (kipac.stanford.edu/research/topics/direct-dark-matter-detection)

@flip.tanedo

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

35

This is a neutron star



The Little Prince, A. St.-Exupery via The Atlantic

@flip.tanedo





@flip.tanedo

Particle physicist's view



Pretty big, pretty dense. Full of **n**. Also **e**⁻.

(also lots of other stuff) ... see Zaki's talk



 $M_{\star} = 1.5 \,\,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ $R_{\star} = 12.6 \,\,\mathrm{km}$

Excellent *direct detection* target. What detector?



Julie Peasley, particlezoo.net

@flip.tanedo

Dark Matter Kinetic Heating

Neutron stars as a laboratory



Dark matter captures on neutron star, deposits its kinetic energy, raises star temperature.



Dark Kinetic Heating of Neutron Stars and An Infrared Window On WIMPs, SIMPs, and Pure Higgsinos

Masha Baryakhtar,¹ Joseph Bramante,¹ Shirley Weishi Li,² Tim Linden,² and Nirmal Raj³

¹Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada ²CCAPP and Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210, USA

³Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 46556, USA

We identify a largely model-independent signature of dark matter interactions with nucleons and electrons. Dark matter in the local galactic halo, gravitationally accelerated to over half the speed of light, scatters against and deposits kinetic energy into neutron stars, heating them to infrared blackbody temperatures. The resulting radiation could potentially be detected by the James Webb Space Telescope, the Thirty Meter Telescope, or the European Extremely Large Telescope. This mechanism also produces optical emission from neutron stars in the galactic bulge, and X-ray emission near the galactic center, because dark matter is denser in these regions. For GeV - PeV mass dark matter, dark kinetic heating would initially unmask any spin-independent or spin-dependent dark matter-nucleon cross-sections exceeding 2×10^{-45} cm², with improved sensitivity after more telescope exposure. For lighter-than-GeV dark matter, cross-section sensitivity scales inversely with dark matter mass because of Pauli blocking; for heavier-than-PeV dark matter, it scales linearly with mass as a result of needing multiple scatters for capture. Future observations of dark sector-warmed neutron stars could determine whether dark matter annihilates in or only kinetically heats neutron stars. Because inelastic inter-state transitions of up to a few GeV would occur in relativistic scattering against nucleons, elusive inelastic dark matter like pure Higgsinos can also be discovered.



@flip.tanedo

Detector

@flip.tanede



Detect radio pulses to identify nearby old

)C

JWST

only need one.

websites



Measure temperature with infrared telescopes.

For 2 σ : $10^5 \sec\left(\frac{d}{10\mathrm{pc}}\right)^4$





@flip.tanedo





Images: Wookiepedia, The Little Prince

@flip.tanedo





Breaks down for extreme masses

Image (right): Aniket Joglekar



Break Down #1 Not enough ΔE



Heavy dark matter does not transfer enough energy. (c.f. why we like Xenon)

...multiple scatters required to capture in the star.

c.f. Jason & Chris talks

Non-relativistic limit; n.b. saturates in large m_X limit

$$\Delta E = \frac{m_{\rm T} m_{\chi}^2}{m_{\chi}^2 + m_{\rm T}^2 + 2\gamma_{\rm esc} m_{\chi} m_{\rm T}} \frac{v_{\rm esc}^2}{1 - v_{\rm esc}^2} \left(1 - \cos\psi\right)$$

Break Down #2



Light DM can't overcome Pauli blocking

@flip.tanedo



Break Down of the geometric σ



@flip.tanedo

The reach of kinetic heating **Standard Picture**



@flip.tanedo

What else can we do?







UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

Result

Surprising reach of relativistic electrons

can beat lepton direct detection

curiously similar reach to neutrons

dotted line?





@flip.tanedo



Non-relativistic estimate

Capture of Leptophilic Dark Matter in Neutron Stars

Nicole F. Bell,^{*a*} Giorgio Busoni^{*b*} and Sandra Robles^{*a*}

Abstract. Dark matter particles will be captured in neutron stars if they undergo scattering interactions with nucleons or leptons. These collisions transfer the dark matter kinetic energy to the star, resulting in appreciable heating that is potentially observable by forthcoming infrared telescopes. While previous work considered scattering only on nucleons, neutron stars contain small abundances of other particle species, including electrons and muons. We perform a detailed analysis of the neutron star kinetic heating constraints on leptophilic dark matter. We also estimate the size of loop induced couplings to quarks, arising from the exchange of photons and Z bosons. Despite having relatively small lepton abundances, we find that an observation of an old, cold, neutron star would provide very strong limits on dark matter interactions with leptons, with the greatest reach arising from scattering off muons. The projected sensitivity is orders of magnitude more powerful than current dark matter-electron scattering bounds from terrestrial direct detection experiments.

treat electrons as little neutrons

KILLER APP leptophilic dark matter



result: muons very promising, electrons are okay

1904.09803

@flip.tanedo





@flip.tanedo

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

35

What was missing #1

Pauli Blocking for Relativistic Targets

TARGET MOVING AWAY FROM DM



Some configurations favor capture.

22/35

@flip.tanedo

What was missing #2

Which cross section?



Cross section depends on kinematics (frame)

Images: Aniket Joglekar

@flip.tanedo





??

A matter of frame Not a fixed target experiment





@flip.tanedo



df, dv, dN are each separately Lorentz invariant

@flip.tanedo



Frames & Lorentz Invariance

LORENTZ INVARIANT

$$df = \frac{d\sigma v_{\rm rel}}{dn_{\rm T}} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t} \Big|_{\rm capture}$$

CENTER OF MASS NEUTRON STAR FRAME? FRAME?

0

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY





Reminder: Möller velocity



see, e.g. Cannoni 1605.00569 (but you probably saw this first in Gelmini & Gondolo "Cosmic abundances of stable particles," 1990)



UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

Lorentz Invariant Capture Efficiency

LORENTZ INVARIANT

$$df = \frac{d\sigma v_{\rm rel}}{dn_{\rm T}} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t} \Big|_{\rm capture}$$

CENTER OF MASS NEUTRON STAR FRAME FRAME

$$df = \frac{d\sigma_{\rm CM}}{v_{\rm M\emptyset l}} \frac{dn_{\rm T} \Delta t}{v_{\rm M\emptyset l}} |_{\rm capture}$$



@flip.tanedo

Apply Capture Conditions

 $df = d\sigma_{\rm CM} \, v_{\rm Møl} \, dn_{\rm T} \, \Delta t \, |_{\rm capture}$

$$df = \sum_{N_{\rm hit}} d\sigma_{\rm CM} v_{\rm Møl} dn_{\rm T} \frac{\Delta t}{N_{\rm hit}}$$

$$\times \Theta \left(\Delta E - \frac{E_{\rm halo}}{N_{\rm hit}} \right) \Theta \left(\frac{\Delta E_{\rm min}}{N_{\rm hit} + 1} - \Delta E \right)$$

$$\times \Theta \left(\Delta E + E_p - E_{\rm F} \right)$$
Pauli blocking of final state

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

35

Result

Surprising reach of relativistic electrons

can beat lepton direct detection

curiously similar reach to neutrons

dotted line?





@flip.tanedo

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY



3(



@flip.tanedo

Phase space of neutron star scattering



UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

35

What it all boils down to

Understanding behavior of relativistic results

- 1. How does the differential cross section scale with m_{χ} ?
- 2. Is the phase space suppressed with m_{χ} ?
- 3. Does capture require multiple scatters?

efficiency

$$f \sim \frac{1}{N_{\text{hit}}} \int_{\cos\psi_{\text{max}}}^{1} d\cos\psi \int_{p_{\text{min}}}^{p_{\text{F}}} \frac{p^2 dp}{p_{\text{F}}^3} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{s}$$

For each operator in each regime, check scaling with dark matter mass.





$$f \sim \frac{1}{N_{\text{hit}}} \int_{\cos\psi_{\text{max}}}^{1} d\cos\psi \int_{p_{\text{min}}}^{p_{\text{F}}} \frac{p^2 dp}{p_{\text{F}}^3} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{s}$$

$$s \approx \begin{cases} m_{\rm T}^2 & m_\chi \ll m_{\rm T}^2/p_{\rm F} \\ m_\chi E_p & m_{\rm T}^2/E_{\rm F} \ll m_\chi \ll p_{\rm F} \\ m_\chi^2 & p_{\rm F} \ll m_\chi \end{cases}$$



... some cases are more complex

But you we wrote up heuristic flow charts





In the this operator there is no plateau.

The electrons are relativistic and have an additional regime where dark matter is "lightish" compared to the Fermi momentum.

Verified in Monte Carlo calculation (left)

2004.09539





Opportunities for *direct detection* with neutron stars New formalism for relativistic, degenerate targets



arXiv:1911.13293 & arXiv: 2004.09539

@flip.tanedo

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

36

35

Additional slides

... someone asked a clever question



@flip.tanedo

Möller velocity review $v_{\rm rel} = \frac{k}{E_k}\Big|_{\rm T} = \frac{\sqrt{E_k^2 - m_\chi^2}}{E_k}\Big|_{\rm T} = \frac{\sqrt{(p \cdot k)^2 - m_{\rm T}^2 m_\chi^2}}{p \cdot k} \quad v_{\rm Møl} = \frac{\sqrt{(p \cdot k)^2 - m_{\rm T}^2 m_\chi^2}}{E_n E_k}$ $\mathcal{R} = \left(A \, dn_{\mathrm{T}} dn_{\chi}\right)_{F} = \left(A \frac{E_{T} E_{\chi}}{m_{\mathrm{T}} m_{\chi}}\right)_{T} d\hat{n}_{\mathrm{T}} d\hat{n}_{\chi}$

$$\mathcal{R} = d\sigma_{\rm CM} \left(\frac{p \cdot k}{E_T E_\chi} v_{\rm rel} \right) dn_{\rm T} dn_\chi = d\sigma_{\rm CM} v_{\rm Møl} dn_{\rm T} dn_\chi$$

see, e.g. Cannoni 1605.00569 for a review





Möller velocity: in a nutshell From a boost of the "fixed-target" lab frame

In the rest frame of one particle 1 we already said that $v_{\rm rel} = |\boldsymbol{v}_2|$. The 4-momenta are $p_1 = (m_1, 0)$, $p_2 = (E_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2)$ with scalar product $p_1 \cdot p_2 = m_1 E_2$. It follows that $v_{\rm rel} = |\boldsymbol{p}_2|/E_2 = \sqrt{E_2^2 - m_2^2}/E_2$ can be written as⁶

$$v_{\rm rel} = \frac{\sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}}{p_1 \cdot p_2}.$$
 (18)

Using (12) and $p_1 \cdot p_2/E_1E_2 = 1 - v_1 \cdot v_2$, in terms of velocities Eq. (18) becomes 1605.00569

$$v_{\rm rel} = rac{\sqrt{(\boldsymbol{v}_1 - \boldsymbol{v}_2)^2 - (\boldsymbol{v}_1 \times \boldsymbol{v}_2)^2}}{1 - \boldsymbol{v}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_2}.$$
 (19)



Möller velocity: in a nutshell From the flux

The Möller velocity cancels the transformation of densities in the expression for the flux.

From Eq. (17) and (18) the invariant flux is 1605.00569

$$F = n_1 n_2 \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2}{E_1 E_2} \frac{\sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}}{p_1 \cdot p_2},$$

which gives Eq. (8), or, in terms of velocities,

$$F = n_1 n_2 \underbrace{(1 - \boldsymbol{v}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_2)}_{1 - \boldsymbol{v}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_2} \frac{\sqrt{(\boldsymbol{v}_1 - \boldsymbol{v}_2)^2 - (\boldsymbol{v}_1 \times \boldsymbol{v}_2)^2}}{\underbrace{1 - \boldsymbol{v}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_2}},$$

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOM



Energy transfer kinematics





Energy transfer: relativistic



Maximum energy transfer

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\gamma \beta k_{\rm CM}} = \cos \delta \, \left(1 - \cos \psi \right) - \left| \sin \delta \right| \cos \alpha \, \sin \psi$$

We may succinctly write the conditions for the maximum energy transfer as

$$\cos \alpha = -1$$
 $\cos \psi = -\cos \delta$ $\sin \psi = |\sin \delta| = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \delta}$

$$\frac{\Delta E_{\max}}{\gamma \beta k_{\rm CM}} = \cos \delta (1 + \cos \delta) + \sin^2 \delta = \cos \delta + 1$$

$$\cos \delta = \frac{E_p k^2 - E_k p^2 + (E_p - E_k) \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{E \beta E_{\rm CM} k_{\rm CM}}$$

One may then evaluate this in various limits.



Heuristics for phase space scaling ... hand-wavy, but tested

Rule of Thumb 1 (Independent Integration Assumption). We assume that the phase space integrals are independent of one another. For simplicity, we ignore the dependence on phase space integrals in the differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\rm CM}$. This is sufficient to understand the scaling behavior with respect to the dark matter mass.

Rule of Thumb 2 (Weak Condition). First $\Delta E > 0$. This is a sufficient, but not necessary condition.

Corollary of Thumb 1 (Weaker condition). $\cos \delta > 0$ is a sufficient condition that $\Delta E > 0$ for a unsuppressed part of phase space. This is a sufficient, but not necessary condition.

Proof. This comes from positivity of the right-hand side and the range $0 \le \psi \le \pi$, since ψ is a polar angle.

Rule of Thumb 3 (Strong Condition). The phase space for the initial target momentum must be large enough that the outgoing target after scattering has momentum larger than the Fermi momentum. For this diagnostic, we check relative to the maximum kinematically allowed energy transfer, ΔE_{max} :

$$p + \Delta E_{max} > p_F . \tag{F.4}$$

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

2004.09539



Corollary of Thumb 1 (Weaker condition). $\cos \delta > 0$ is a sufficient condition that $\Delta E > 0$ for a unsuppressed part of phase space. This is a sufficient, but not necessary condition.

 $\left(m_{\rm T}^2 + p^2\right) \left(\gamma_{\rm esc}^2 v_{\rm esc}^2 m_{\chi}^2 + p\gamma_{\rm esc} v_{\rm esc} m_{\chi} \cos\theta\right)^2 > \gamma_{\rm esc}^2 m_{\chi}^2 \left(p^2 + p\gamma_{\rm esc} v_{\rm esc} m_{\chi} \cos\theta\right)$

@flip.tanedo

UCR PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY

35