Cosmogenic background and its consequences for rare event searches with germanium



Ralph Massarczyk for the MAJORANA collaboration

Los Alamos National Laboratory

LA-UR-22-25347

I the second

COSMOGENIC INDUCED BACKGROUND SOURCES

- Cosmogenic radiation created when high energy particles interact with the atmosphere.
- Most components can be shielded
 - Heavy shielding (surface)
 - Rock (Underground)
- Deep penetrating components are:
 - Neutrinos
 - Muons
 - Neutrons





NEUTRINOLESS DOUBLE BETA-DECAY



- Double-beta decay is observable when energetically favored, e.g. single β-decay to neighbors not possible
- Neutrinoless double-beta decay 0vββ searches:
 - Test if lepton number violating processes exist (violation by $\Delta L = 2$)
 - Probe the Majorana or Dirac nature of massive neutrinos
 - Allow to shed light on neutrino mass scale (if observed)
 - Can in combination with leptogenesis give hint to observed matter-antimatter asymmetry



THE MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

Searching for neutrinoless double-beta decay of ⁷⁶Ge in HPGe detectors, probing additional physics beyond the standard model, and informing the design of the next-generation LEGEND experiment

- Source & Detector:
 - Array of p-type, point contact detectors 30 kg of 88% enriched
 ⁷⁶Ge crystals 14 kg of natural Ge crystals
 - Included 6.7 kg of ⁷⁶Ge inverted coaxial, point contact detectors in final run
- Excellent Energy Resolution: 2.5 keV FWHM @ 2039 keV
- Analysis Threshold:

- 1 keV
- Low Background: 2 modules within a compact graded shield and active muon veto using ultra-clean materials

Reached an exposure of ~65 kg-yr before removal of the enriched detectors for the LEGEND-200 experiment at LNGS



Continuing to operate at the Sanford Underground Research Facility with natural detectors for background studies and other physics (^{180m}Ta decay search, see Poster Sam Schleich)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



4



MINIMIZING THE SURFACE EXPOSURE

- Production and transport on surface exposes Ge-crystals to cosmogenic flux
- Production of various (mostly) short lived isotopes
- ⁶⁸Ge is a known issue
 - long-half-life of 271 days
 - Daughter Q-value spans over 0vββ-ROI
- Other isotopes limit searches for BSM physics
- Reduction of surface impact by:
 - Use of shielded shipping containers
 - Minimized surface exposure
 - Underground storage location at vendor sites







MINIMIZING THE SURFACE EXPOSURE



- Production and transport on surface exposes Ge-crystals to cosmogenic flux
- Production of various (mostly) short lived isotopes
- ⁶⁸Ge is a known issue
 - long-half-life of 271 days
 - Daughter Q-value spans over 0vββ-ROI
- Other isotopes limit searches for BSM physics
- Reduction of surface impact by:
 - Use of shielded shipping containers
 - Minimized surface exposure
 - Underground storage location at vendor sites



Detectors



SEARCHES BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL IN MJD

- Clear identification of decay signatures at low energies
- Enriched (surface exposure controlled) detector show le contributions by ³H and other isotopes
- Allows competitive searches with small exposures
 - Fermionic Dark Matter
 - Bosonic Dark Matter
 - Sterile Neutrino
 - Solar Axions
 - Fractional Charge Particles
 - Other BSM physics like

Pauli Exclusion Principle violation or

Wave Function Collapse







IN-SITU COSMOGENIC PRODUCTION

- Production Deep Underground driven by muons and secondary particles from showers (in rock, shielding materials)
- 99.9% within 1 s
- Heavy Z-shield (Lead) increase the production of showers
- Wide-range of isotopes created
- Complex simulations
 - High-energy muons (up to several hundred GeV)
 - Various materials
 - \circ Various particles in showers (short-lived, $\gamma,\,\alpha,\,n$...)
 - Wide energy range and reaction channels
- Search for meta-stable Ge isotopes
 - Ultra low background conditions
 - Excellent energy resolution









٦

IN-SITU COSMOGENIC PRODUCTION

- Comparison of simulated and experimental isotope rate
- Mostly neutron driven reactions
- Sensitivity to fast (⁷¹Ge & ⁷³Ge) and slow (⁷⁵Ge & ⁷⁷Ge) neutrons
- Differences between different simulation codes were identified → Allows an estimation of uncertainty for simulated results





PRC 105 (2022) 014617



NEUTRINOLESS DOUBLE BETA DECAY SEARCH (NEW)

<u>Final</u> enriched detector active exposure:

64.5 ± 0.9 kg yrs

Background Index at 2039 keV:

$6.2 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cts} / (\text{keV kg yr})$

Only minor contribution by cosmogenics to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -ROI

 $\sim 10^{-5}$ cts / (keV kg yr)

MJD results for full data set

 8.1×10^{25} yr (90% C.I., sensitivity)

 $T_{1/2} > 8.3 \times 10^{25} \text{ yr} (90\% \text{ C.I. limit})$

 $m_{\beta\beta} < 113 - 269 \text{ meV}$





THE NEXT GENERATION:





MJD: New final exposure results







GERDA: Final 0vββ results published

PRL 125, 252502 (2020)

LEGEND-200: Now in commissioning

LEGEND-1000: Conceptual design development continuing

arXiv: 2107.11462

LEGEND mission: "The collaboration aims to develop a phased, ⁷⁶Ge based double-beta decay experimental program with discovery potential at a half-life beyond 10²⁸ years, using existing resources as appropriate to expedite physics results."

THE NEXT GENERATION:



LEGEND-200:

- 200 kg, upgrade of existing GERDA infrastructure at Gran Sasso
- 2.5 keV FWHM resolution

Background goal < 0.6 cts/(FWHM t yr) < 2x10⁻⁴ cts/(keV kg yr)

• Now in commissioning, physics data starting in 2022

LEGEND-1000:

- 1000 kg, staged via individual payloads (~400 detectors)
- Timeline connected to review process
- Background goal
 <0.025 cts/(FWHM t yr),
 <1x10⁻⁵ cts/(keV kg yr)
- Location to be selected





LEGEND-200: Now in commissioning

LEGEND-1000: Conceptual design development continuing

arXiv: 2107.11462

THE NEXT GENERATION:





LEGEND:

- Some effects can cause an increase of the cosmogenic background:
 - Larger array (more Ge per μ)
 - Less dense packing (lower multiplicity)
 - Depth
- Several key items and R&D will result in a lower contribution by cosmogenic particles
 - Low-Z Material (reduced shower)
 - Active veto surrounding each detector
 - Depth (SNOLAB)
 - Additional R&D to further reduce effect (LNGS):
 - Neutron tagging
 - Additional neutron moderator



Usually high neutron multiplicity within a cosmogenic shower

Reduce in-situ production or contribution of ^{77(m)}Ge

- Identify delayed ^{77m}Ge events by coincident events in Argon (tag ⁴¹Ar decay) or even a Gd-doped water shield
- Additional neutron moderating materials close by

Goal:

Neutron sibling tagging

- Active moderation slows neutrons down
- Increased neutron capture by Argon (less Ge capture and more vetoing of sibling)
- Optimization between newly introduced radiogenic background vs moderation effect



R&D TO ACHIEVE A VIRTUAL DEPTH





Work by C. Wiesinger, M. Neuberger, CJ Barton, I. Costa, M. Morella and others

SUMMARY



- Creation of radioisotopes in germanium crystals is a potential background
 - ⁶⁸Ge (on surface)
 - \circ ^{77(m)}Ge (in-situ)
- Mitigation by short transport times and shielding on surface
- Active vetoing allows suppression of prompt in-situ background
- Delayed decays can be suppressed by delayed coincidence tagging techniques
- Additional R&D that makes use of shower characteristics and "over moderation" to avoid and tag ^{77(m)}Ge contributions

MAJORANA COLLABORATION





Duke University, Durham, NC, and TUNL: Queen's University, Kingston, Canada: Matthew Busch Ryan Martin Indiana University, Bloomington, IN: South Dakota Mines, Rapid City, SD: Walter Pettus Jared Thompson Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia: Sergey Vasilyev Susanne Mertens Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA: Tennessee Tech University, Cookeville, TN: Yuen-Dat Chan, Alan Poon Mary Kidd Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM: University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, and TUNL: Pinghan Chu, Steven Elliott, In Wook Kim, Ralph Massarczyk, Samuel J. Meijer, Keith Rielage, Danielle Schaper, Brian Zhu National Research Center Moscow, Russia: University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC: Alexander Barabash Franklin Adams, Frank Avignone, Thomas Lannen, David Tedeschi North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC and TUNL: University of South Dakota, Vermillion, SD: Matthew P. Green, Ethan Blalock, Rushabh Gala C.J. Barton, Laxman Paudel, Tupendra Oli, Wenqin Xu Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN: University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN: Vincente Guiseppe, Charlie Havener, José Mariano López-Castaño, Yuri Efremenko David Radford, Robert Varner, Chang-Hong Yu University of Washington, Seattle, WA: Osaka University, Osaka, Japan: Clint Wiseman Hiroyasu Ejiri Williams College, Williamstown, MA: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA:

Isaac Arnquist, Maria-Laura di Vacri, Eric Hoppe, Richard T. Kouzes

Cabot-Ann Christofferson, Jessica Peterson, Ana Carolina Sousa Ribeiro,

Technische Universität München, and Max Planck Institute, Munich, Germany:

Kevin Bhimani, Brady Bos, Thomas Caldwell, Morgan Clark, Aaron Engelhardt, Julieta Gruszko, Ian Guinn, Chris Haufe, Reyco Henning, David Hervas, Aobo Li, Eric Martin, Gulden Othman, Anna Reine, Jackson Waters, John F. Wilkerson

Micah Buuck, Clara Cuesta, Jason Detwiler, Alexandru Hostiuc, Nick Ruof,

Graham K. Giovanetti



111

SOUTH DAKOTA MINE



пп





Los Alamos





SOUTH CAROLINA







lilliams